

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,579	02/26/2002	Frederick L. Jordan	ORYXE.026A	6019
20995	7590 09/26/2003			
	IARTENS OLSON & B	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			TOOMER, CEPHIA D	
IRVINE, CA	92614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1714	
			DATE MAILED: 09/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The Period for Rep A SHORTE THE MAILI - Extensions o after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to rep - Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN f time may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this comi for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 for reply is specified above, the maximum solv within the set or extended period for reply	s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl	NTH(S) FROM ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. 15 from the mailing date of this communication.
The Period for Rep A SHORTE THE MAILI - Extensions o after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to rep - Any reply rec	MAILING DATE of this communolly ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN f time may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this common reply specified above is less than thirty (sor reply is specified above, the maximum soly within the set or extended period for reply elived by the Office later than three months	Cephia D. Toomer Inication appears on the cover sheet with FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MOI ICATION. I	1714 The correspondence address NTH(S) FROM By be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. Its from the mailing date of this communication.
A SHORTE THE MAILI - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If the period if the period if the period if a lift NO period if a lift NO period if	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN f time may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this comi for reply specified above is less than thirty (for reply is specified above, the maximum s bly within the set or extended period for reply leived by the Office later than three months	FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MOI ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replimunication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (attautory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH y will, by statute, cause the application to become ABAN	the correspondence address NTH(S) FROM by be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. Form the mailing date of this communication.
A SHORTE THE MAILI - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If the period if the	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN f time may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this comi for reply specified above is less than thirty (for reply is specified above, the maximum s bly within the set or extended period for reply leived by the Office later than three months	FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MOI ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (a tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHY will, by statute, cause the application to become ABAN	NTH(S) FROM by be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. form the mailing date of this communication.
THE MAILI - Extensions o after SIX (6) - If the period : - If NO period - Failure to rep - Any reply rec	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN f time may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this comfor reply specified above is less than thirty (for reply is specified above, the maximum solly within the set or extended period for reply leived by the Office later than three months	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replimunication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (itatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHy will, by statute, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. Is from the mailing date of this communication.
Status			NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
	ponsive to communication(s) f	iled on	
,		2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
<i>'</i> —		n for allowance except for formal matte	ore procedution as to the marite is
		tice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	
Disposition of	Claims		
4)⊠ Clain	n(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the	application.	
4a) O	f the above claim(s) is/a	are withdrawn from consideration.	
5)☐ Clain	n(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Clain	n(s) <u>1-18,21-30 and 33-36</u> is/ar	re rejected.	
7)⊠ Clain	n(s) <u>19,20,31 and 32</u> is/are obj	ected to.	
8) Clain Application Pa	•	ction and/or election requirement.	
9)∐ The s	pecification is objected to by th	e Examiner.	
10) <u></u> The d	rawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the	Examiner.
Арр	licant may not request that any ob	ejection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) <u></u> The p	roposed drawing correction file	ed on is: a)∏ approved b)∏ dis	approved by the Examiner.
lf ap	pproved, corrected drawings are re	equired in reply to this Office action.	
12) <u></u> The o	ath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examiner.	
Priority under	35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)∏ Ackn	owledgment is made of a clain	n for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)∏ All	b) Some * c) None of:		
1.□	Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received.	
2.	Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received in App	olication No
3. <u>□</u> * See th	application from the Inter	of the priority documents have been renational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In for a list of the certified copies not re	-
14) Ackno	wledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).
		nguage provisional application has bee for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	
Attachment(s)	J	,,	-
1) Notice of Re	eferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (l Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F	PTO-948) 5) Notice of Infe	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-18 and 21-30 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of copending Application No. 10/084602. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the resid additive of the present invention is encompassed by the fuel additive for hydrocarbon fuels because resid fuel is a species of the genus hydrocarbon fuel. Also, the ranges of the components overlap.

Art Unit: 1714

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

4. Claims 1-16, 21-30 and 33-36 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of copending Application No. 10/084836. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the resid additive of the scope of the claims is the same and the additive is disclosed in the fuel application. Applicant's additive claims are open to the addition of fuel. Furthermore, the use of the fuel in conjunction with the additive would infringe the claims of the additive and the use of the present additive in the fuel would infringe the fuel composition.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 4-6, 9-11, 13, 17-18, 21-23, 25, 28-30 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jordan (US 5,826,369).

Art Unit: 1714

Jordan teaches a carbonaceous fuel composition comprising a fuel additive of beta-carotene, chlorophyll, ethoxylated castor oil, jojoba oil and alkyl nitrates (see abstract; col. 2, lines 11-22). The carbonaceous fuel includes gasoline, diesel fuel, heavy fuel oil (resid), etc. (see col. 2, lines 23-43). The fuel additive may be diluted with a solvent such as gasoline, toluene, diesel fuel and alcohols (see col. 2, line 60 through col. 3, lines 1-6). Jordan teaches that the ethoxylated castor oil provides enhanced combustion characteristics and reductions in pollutant emissions.

- 3. Accordingly, Jordan teaching all the limitations of the claims anticipates the claims.
- 4. Claims 1, 13 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schur (US 5,160,506).

Schur teaches a fuel composition comprising a liquid fuel mixture, a vegetable oil, an ageing inhibitor, an oxidation inhibitor and other conventional additives (see col. 1, lines 27-33; col. 2, lines 30-39,45-68).

Accordingly, Schur teaching all the limitations of the claims, anticipates the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 1714

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jordan (US 5,826,369).

Jordan has been discussed above. Jordan differs from the claim in that he does not specifically teach that the alcohol solvent is methanol or ethanol. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have selected these alcohol because Jordan broadly teaches alcohols, which encompasses methanol and ethanol, and Jordan teaches that any organic solvent may be used provided that it does not adversely increase pollutant emission levels.

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is cited for teaching the general state of the art and is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Claims 19, 20, 31 and 32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art fails to teach or suggest vetch as the plant extract and the proportions of the components.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cephia D. Toomer whose telephone number is 703-308-2509. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 703-306-2777. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1714

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Cephia D. Toomer
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1714

10084579\091703